1	To be re-submitted to ISME Communications
2	
3	Enrichment of putative plant growth promoting microorganisms in
4	biodynamic compared to organic agriculture soils
5	
6	Felix Milke ¹ , Heberto Rodas-Gaitan ¹ , Georg Meissner ² , Vincent Masson ³ , Meike Oltmanns ⁴ ,
7	Morten Möller ¹ , Yvette Wohlfahrt ² , Boris Kulig ⁵ , Alberto Acedo ⁶ , Miriam Athmann ^{1,} Jürgen
8	Fritz ^{*1}
9	¹ Department of Organic Farming and Cropping Systems, University of Kassel, Nordbahnhofstr. 1a, D-37213
10	Witzenhausen, Germany
11	² Department of General and Organic Viticulture, University of Geisenheim, Von-Lade-Str. 1, D-65366
12	Geisenheim, Germany
13	³ BioDynamie Services, Les Crêts ,71250 Chateau, France
14	* Forschungsring e.V., Brandschneise 5, D-64295 Darmstadt, Germany
15	³ Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, University of Kassel, Nordbahnhofstr. 1a, D-37213
10	Witzennausen, Germany Achere Marken 202 Countree PLS vite 100 Paris 2 Liferrie 05510 United States
10	* German and in a puth an
10	Corresponding author:
20	Department of Organic Earning and Cranning Systems, University of Kassel, Nordhahnhofstr, 1a
20	Witzenhausen NI D-37213 Germany
22	Witzerindusen, N, D 37213, Germany.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	@ The Author(a) [2024] Dublished by Orferd History Press on 1.1.1.16 fethe Internet 1
32	Society for Microbial Ecology
33	

34 Short title: Biodynamic preparation as biofertilizer

35

36 Study funding: This work was supported by grants from the Software AG Foundation (Grant 37 Number P14294, Recipient Felix Milke) and the European Union (Grant Number 947084, Recipient Biome Makers Spain SL).

39

38

- 40
- Abstract 41

The potential of soils to maintain biological productivity, defined as soil health, is strongly 42 43 influenced by human activity, such as agriculture. Therefore, soil management has always been a concern for sustainable agriculture and new methods that account for both soil health 44 45 and crop yield must be found. Biofertilization using microbial inoculants emerges as a promising alternative to conventional interventions such as excessive mineral fertilization and 46 herbicide use. Biodynamic preparations used as a central part of biodynamic agriculture have 47 48 various effects on soil properties, such as microbial biomass and respiration. We conducted several biomarker experiments to infer the effect of biodynamic preparations on soil 49 prokaryotic and fungal communities and compared results to organic management. Potential 50 plant growth promoting Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) were quantified using a 51 commercial database based on their taxonomic identity. We found significantly higher 52 numbers of putative plant growth promoting ASVs in biodynamically compared to organically 53 54 treated soils. Furthermore, prokaryotic ASVs enriched in biodynamic preparations were found 55 in higher numbers in biodynamically treated soils, indicating successful colonization after 56 treatment. Experiments were conducted at three locations in Germany and 21 locations in 57 France covering different crops and soil types. Altogether, our results indicate that 58 biodynamic preparations can act as biofertilizers that promote soil health by increasing the 59 abundance of plant growth promoting microorganisms.

60

- 61 Key words:
- 62 Microbiome, agriculture, biodynamic, organic, biodynamic preparation, soil health,63 biofertilizer, soil, biological amendment.
- 64

66 Introduction

67 Large-scale ecosystem degradation is a consequence of agricultural intensification due to the application of pesticides, consumption of water storages, and soil degradation, which is 68 69 a rising issue with an increasing global population (1,2). To counter this development, low-70 input systems such as organic or biodynamic farming emerged as sustainable alternatives to 71 conventional farming strategies (3). Both farming strategies share similar principles, such as 72 refraining from the use of synthetic fertilizers or pesticides. However, biodynamic 73 agriculture favours the use of composts, the integration of livestock and the reduction of 74 external inputs to a greater extent than organic agriculture. One essential difference between organic and biodynamic crop farming is the application of so-called biodynamic 75 preparations that were proposed in the beginning of the 20th century by Rudolf Steiner (4), 76 the founder of biodynamic agriculture. These preparations are either applied in the field on 77 soil or crops ("field preparations") or on stable manure ("compost preparations"). The 78 79 compost preparations consist of different wild plants fermented in combination with 80 different organs of ruminants. The field preparations consist of fermented manure or silica 81 flour (preparation BD500: horn manure and preparation BD501: horn silica) stored in cow horns and burrowed for six months in soils. After fermentation, the highly diluted products 82 are sprayed on the fields where they showed an improvement of multiple parameters: soil 83 aggregate stability (5), higher soil activity and nutrient availability (6,7), higher vegetable or 84 85 cereal grain yield (6–9), higher content of secondary plant compounds (10,11) and 86 promotion of the germination of seeds in the following generation (12). Despite numerous 87 crop beneficial effects that could be associated with the use of biodynamic preparations, 88 some cases report no significant differences between agricultural managements with and 89 without biodynamic preparations (13,14). Long-term observations from several

90 experimental sites by Raupp and König (15) indicate that biodynamic preparations have a

91 system regulating effect: They found that under unfavourable growth conditions crop yield

92 was increased, whereas under good growth conditions with high to very high nutrient

93 supplies crop yield was not affected or even reduced when treated with biodynamic

94 preparations.

95 Biodynamic preparations have as low application rates as 100 g ha⁻¹ of fermented manure for horn manure and 4 g ha⁻¹ of quartz powder for horn silica, hence their effect cannot simply 96 be attributed to nutrient supply. Horn manure is applied to moist soil in autumn and spring in 97 large drops. Horn silica is sprayed onto the leaves in a fine mist during the growing season. 98 Both are applied one to four times a year. There are different explanatory models to describe 99 the effect of the preparations on crop management. For example, in the production of horn 100 101 manure preparations, the microbially mediated slow fermentation under oxygen-deficient 102 conditions in the soil can produce signalling molecules such as carbohydrates and peptides to which microbes respond even at very low concentrations (16). This could lead to increased 103 microbial activity in the rhizosphere (17–19) or stimulate natural plant defences (20,21). 104 105 Another complementary explanation for the potential mode of action of the preparations could be microbially mediated plant growth promoting effects. For example, bacterial strains 106 that produce indole acetic acid (IAA) were detected in horn manure preparations (22). 107 According to Spaccini et al. (16), horn manure also contains lignin residues with IAA-like 108 activity. Besides that, auxin-like and gibberellic acid-like effects were found in horn manure 109 110 and horn silica preparations, respectively (17).

111 It is hypothesized that plant beneficial effects of biodynamic preparations can be induced by 112 an enhancement of the symbiosis between plants and microbes either via the successful 113 colonization of beneficial microbes present in the preparations (23), or by stimulating

manure and horn silica preparations on microbial respiration in soils (24) support the 116 hypothesis of microbially mediated effects on plants. Furthermore, a recent analysis of soil 117 microbiomes managed under different agricultural practices revealed a strong connection between management practice and microbial interaction structure, where especially 118 119 biodynamic management increased microbial community stability by promoting more densely connected communities (25). Hence, there is evidence that biodynamic preparations 120 impact soil microbial communities that promote the observed effects on plant growth.

microbial activity in the soil with biolabile compounds (16). Significant positive effects of horn

114

115

121

In the present study, we aimed to infer changes in the prokaryotic and fungal community 122 compositions of agriculturally used soils associated with biodynamic field preparations 123 (BD500 or BD500P ("P": treated with additional preparation, see below) & BD501). We 124 125 tracked the occurrence of Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASV) enriched in biodynamic 126 preparations in microbial communities of biodynamically managed soils to observe successful microbial colonization. Further, we infer potential plant beneficial effects associated with the 127 128 observed community changes. To do that, we assigned potential plant beneficial effects to 129 taxonomic identities of microbial ASVs using a commercial database (Biome Makers) that we validated with an in-house database based on peer-reviewed publications. We aimed to 130 analyse the following hypotheses: 131

- 1) Biodynamic field preparations affect the microbial community composition of soils 132 either via successful colonization of microorganisms enriched in field preparations or 133 134 via biostimulation.
- 135 2) The application of biodynamic field preparations increases the number of plant growth promoting microorganisms in soils. 136

- 137 3) Biodynamic field preparations contain high proportions of plant growth promoting138 microorganisms.
- 4) The increase of plant growth promoting microorganisms induced via biodynamic field
 preparations is transient.
- 141 We applied our approach to four different experimental setups to test our hypotheses, where
- 142 we used a block design to analyse the effect of the biodynamic preparations on a broad
- spectrum of soils with various crops, at different locations in central Germany and France at
- 144 two timepoints, and at selected locations also in a 15-week time series to follow the dynamics
- 145 of soil colonization and potential plant beneficial effects.
- 146
- 147 Methods
- 148 Experimental sites and setups

In total, we took 254 soil samples from three agricultural or viticultural experimental sites in Germany (Frankenhausen, Geisenheim, Darmstadt) and 21 practical agricultural or viticultural farms in France throughout the vegetation period in 2021. We covered a broad range of different farming setups, including various crops, soil types and climatic conditions in central Germany and in France. The rational of this experimental design was to analyse the effect of the biodynamic field preparations on soil microbial communities under realistic settings in a range of typical agroecosystems in central Europe.

Since biodynamic crop farming differs from organic crop farming mainly in the application of biodynamic preparations, we used organic crop management (BD-) as control at Frankenhausen, Geisenheim, and France. At Darmstadt we analysed the effect of increased application intensity and used extensive biodynamic management (BD+) as control. That is, we compared the typical practice of three spray treatments of horn manure and horn silica (BD++) each with an extensive setting where we used only one treatment per preparation(supplementary table S1).

163 At all sites, application of the biodynamic field preparations vs. a control was tested, 164 integrated into various experimental setups which are described in Fig. 1a and in the supplementary material. At Frankenhausen and Darmstadt, application of biodynamic field 165 166 preparations was integrated into running field experiments. We implemented a two factorial split-plot design with four different organic farming systems (Frankenhausen) or four 167 different precrops (Darmstadt) as main plot. The application of the biodynamic preparations 168 was compared within subplots. Fields from practical farms or vineyards in France were split 169 in half with one half being treated with biodynamic preparations and the other as control (Fig. 170 1a). Setup and management of all sampled sites are described in Table 1 and with more detail 171 172 in the supplementary information.

173 Treatments with biodynamic preparations varied between farms in terms of preparations used and timepoints of spraying (Fig. 1b, Table 1). Soil communities were sampled twice per 174 175 location (except Frankenhausen and both timeseries) at different timepoints during the 176 growth period, from one day before spray treatment up to 21 weeks after first spray treatment. First soil samples were taken between March and June (TO), whereas second 177 178 sampling was done in August (T1). Biodynamic soil samples were taken at Frankenhausen only in August due to logistic reasons. We further conducted a timeseries at three locations in 179 France and one in Germany, where we sampled right before first spray treatment and 2, 4, 6, 180 181 8, 11 & 15 weeks thereafter. A detailed description of each experimental setup is provided in 182 Table 1 and in the supplementary material. For each soil sample we mixed 8 punctures of soil down to a depth of 13 cm. 183

184 We also sampled biodynamic preparations from various farms in Germany (Darmstadt, Bad 185 Vilbel, Velden, Zülpich) and commercial preparations from BioDynamie Services (Chateau, 186 France). The latter were applied at Frankenhausen and at all locations in France, the 187 preparations from Bad Vilbel were applied at Geisenheim and are therefore denoted as "Geisenheim" throughout this article, and at Darmstadt the own preparations were used. The 188 preparations from Velden and Zülpich were not applied at the experimental sites but we 189 included them in our analysis to increase the variety of preparations and make our 190 191 conclusions more generalizable.

192

193 Biodynamic preparations

Biodynamic preparations are typically produced and applied locally. However, as their formulation follows complex recipes they are often produced and distributed by specialised manufacturers. To cover both scenarios, the experimental sites received their biodynamic preparations either from a manufacturer (BioDynamie Services, Chateau, France) or were produced locally: All experimental soils in France and the soils at Frankenhausen (Germany) were treated with preparations from BioDynamie Services, whereas soils at Geisenheim and Darmstadt were treated with locally produced preparations.

Horn manure (BD500): Cow dung is put into a cow horn, buried in the soil in autumn and extracted after six months in spring. 100 g ha⁻¹ of the fermented dung is stirred in 37 °C water for one hour. The amount of water used depends on the liquid used per ha by the spraying technique and ranges from 50 - 100 L ha⁻¹. Horn manure is applied in large drops, especially in spring at the start of the growing season and applied directly onto the moist soil, if possible. Horn manure prepared (BD500P): Production is the same as for horn manure (BD500), except it is further treated with the biodynamic compost preparations. After the horn manure has 208 been taken out of the horn in spring, it is placed in approx. 50 litre containers. These 209 containers with horn manure are treated like compost with biodynamic compost preparations 210 that contain fermented medicinal herbs (e.g., yarrow, chamomile).

211 Horn silica (BD501): Crystalline quartz is pulverised to a fine powder. The quartz flour is filled into cow horns with approx. 30 mL water. Once the quartz flour has settled the water is 212 213 removed. The cow horn is subsequently buried in the soil in spring and dug out in autumn after six months. Of the quartz flour, 4 g ha⁻¹ is stirred in 37 °C warm water for one hour. The 214 amount of water used depends on the spraying technique and its liquid requirement per ha. 215 Horn silica is sprayed onto the leaves in a fine mist. The time of application can therefore start 216 at the time when the leaves are fully developed, and application can be continued throughout 217 the entire vegetation period. For more information on biodynamic preparations see Masson 218

219 (2014).

220

221 DNA Extraction and library preparation

222 All samples were sent to the Biome Makers laboratory in Valladolid, Spain for DNA extraction. 223 The DNeasy PowerLyzer PowerSoil kit from Qiagen was used for nucleotide extraction using the BeCrop® platform (patent publication number: WO2017096385, Biome Makers). The V4 224 225 region of the 16S rRNA gene and the ITS1 region (BeCrop custom primers: patent WO2017096385) were analysed to retrieve prokaryotic and fungal microbial communities 226 from bulk soils, including roots and associated rhizosphere. The libraries for ITS and 16S rRNA 227 228 were prepared using a two-step PCR protocol as described by Liao et al. (26) and Gobbi et al. 229 (27). All samples were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using 2x251 paired-end reads. 230

232 **Bioinformatics**

After sequencing, reads were processed by first removing primers from paired end reads using Cutadapt (28) and trimmed reads were merged with a minimum overlap of 100 nucleotides. Next, sequences were quality filtered with an Expected Error threshold of 1.0 (29). Quality filtered reads were iteratively clustered into ASVs using Swarm (30). De novo chimeras and remaining singletons were removed by applying the USearch pipeline (31) and taxonomy was assigned for each ASV using a global alignment with 97% identity against SILVA138.1 for 16S rRNA sequences and UNITE8.3 for ITS sequences (32,33).

240

241 Potential plant growth promoting effects

Abundance data on plant growth promoting prokaryotes and fungi were inferred by Biome 242 Makers Inc. (California, USA) who patented a method called BeCrop® indices to infer 243 agronomically relevant functional information from taxonomies, comparable to Tax4Fun2 244 (34) and FAPROTAX (35). BeCrop indices are patented indicators to assess health status of 245 246 soils based on metagenomic data as described by Acedo et al. (36). Briefly, these indicators 247 assess relevant traits related to soil health ranging from metabolic potential to biocontrol and 248 hormones estimations. Detailed descriptions of a subset of BeCrop indices relevant to this study are provided in Supplementary Table S4. The underlying databases infer stress 249 250 adaptation based on several mechanisms: Abscisic acid, ACC deaminase, exopolysaccharide 251 production, heavy metal solubilization, salicylic acid, salt tolerance, and siderophore 252 production. Additionally, they deliver potential hormone production based on cytokinin, 253 gibberellin and IAA production. All potential mechanism abundances are based on the 254 combination of relevant prokaryotic and fungal abundances and scaled to an index from 1 to 255 6 with 1 indicating low abundance and 6 indicating high abundance in the respective soil sample. Biome Makers supplied us also with unscaled relative abundances of microbes thathave potential plant growth promoting effects in the biodynamic preparations.

- 258 To verify their databases, we created an additional database based on a literature review 259 about plant growth promoting effects induced by prokaryotes and fungi (Supplementary Data - Excel sheet "Literature Review Prokaryotes/Fungi"). We inferred relative abundances of all 260 potentially plant growth promoting organisms based on taxonomic level of genus, as the 261 phylogenetic resolution of amplicon studies often struggles with delineation on species or 262 even sub-species level (37). We created a linear model based on ITS and 16S abundances to 263 predict index-values using least squares regression. The models were inferred for hormone 264 production and stress adaptation and yielded good fits (hormone production: Adj. $R^2 = 0.353$; 265 stress adaptation: Adj. R² = 0.346) (Fig. S1). These results showed how the workflow of Biome 266 267 Makers index inference works, but also that their databases are superior to the limited 268 literature review that we conducted for their verification. Therefore, we continued our analyses with the Biome Makers indices as described below. 269
- 270

271 Assessing colonization from microbes enriched in biodynamic preparations

We defined ASVs to be associated with biodynamic preparations if they had relative 272 273 abundances above 0.5% in the biodynamic preparation samples, because we assume that the preparations contain relevant numbers of soil associated ASVs as they are fermented within 274 the soil. We tested several abundance thresholds to define enriched organisms (0.1%, 0.5%, 275 276 1%) and picked an intermediate value of 0.5% as there was no large difference in the outcome 277 of colonization success in the tested range of thresholds. We assume that higher values will 278 strongly decrease detection sensitivity, whereas lower values might increase the proportion 279 of soil-associated organisms in this analysis. A colonization success was apparent when soils treated with biodynamic preparations had higher abundances of ASVs associated tobiodynamic preparations compared to the untreated soil samples of the same block.

282

283 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted in R (version 4.2.2). For the statistical analysis of the 284 285 Biome Makers index-values we tested the dataset for normal distribution with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and for homogeneity of variances with the Levene test. Data points 286 falling above three times the interquartile range, above or below the highest or lowest 287 quartile of the outlier box plot, were removed as outliers. We used paired t-test to infer 288 significant differences between treatments for normally distributed data and paired 289 Wilcoxon-test for not normally distributed data. Treatment and control for each block were 290 291 analyzed as paired measurements. All test-statistics are mentioned in the text or in the 292 supplementary data. For NMDS count tables were transformed to relative abundances and Hellinger transformed using the *decostand* function before computing Bray-Curtis 293 294 dissimilarities between samples using the *vegdist* function from the vegan package (version 295 2.6-4). We used the pheatmap package (version 1.0.12) to create a heatmap of relative abundances of putative PGP microbes in biodynamic preparations. 296

297

298 **Results**

299 Distinct soil microbiomes across experimental setups

We sequenced prokaryotic (16S rRNA gene) and fungal (ITS) communities of 254 soil samples and 20 biodynamic preparations (of which 6 ITS samples did not yield sufficient read counts), resulting in a total of 532 samples (254 x 16S rRNA + 254 x ITS soil samples and 14 x 16S rRNA + 10 x ITS biodynamic preparation samples). 16S rRNA gene samples were sequenced to an average of 32,616 counts (s.d. 23,875 counts) and ITS samples to an average of 53,899 counts
(s.d. 40,995 counts) after bioinformatic processing. Fungal communities had much lower
average number of ASVs per sample (63 ASVs/sample of total 2,025 ASVs in the dataset) than
prokaryotic communities (1,434 ASVs/sample of total 55,679 ASVs in the dataset).

The taxonomic composition of prokaryotic communities on class level was highly similar 308 309 between locations, timepoints, and farming practices (Fig. S2a). Most ASVs belonged to Actinobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, and Nitrososphaeria, comprising together more than 310 50% of community composition. Prokaryotic samples differed more distinctly on higher 311 taxonomic levels, and their ASV compositions clustered strongly according to locations (Fig. 312 S3a). Farming practice and sampling time had only minor effects on community differences. 313 Fungal communities, however expressed higher variability between locations and sampling 314 315 time (Fig. S2b). Variability between farming practices was low even on ASV level compared to 316 the community differences associated with location and sampling time (Fig. S3b). Even though samples from France were taken from different farms in different regions (Table 1 and 317 Supplementary Table S2), their prokaryotic and fungal communities were very similar and did 318 319 not express the same variability as samples located in Germany.

320

321 Colonization of microorganisms through biodynamic preparations

The prokaryotic communities differed strongly between preparations with and without manure. While communities associated with preparations of manure were highly enriched in organisms from the taxonomic class Clostridia, horn silica preparations were enriched in various genera of Gammaproteobacteria. The different locations also showed clear differences in prokaryotic community composition that even varied within the same preparation type and the same location (e.g., horn manure preparation from Zülpich, Germany) (Fig. 2a & Fig. S4). Similar to the soil communities, prokaryotic communities in the
biodynamic preparations also contained high relative abundances of Alphaproteobacteria but
were enriched in different genera compared to the soil samples. This was true for genera from
all classes: the ASVs that we defined to be enriched in biodynamic preparations were only
marginally abundant in the soil samples themselves.

333 However, we found significantly higher abundance of prokaryotic ASVs that were enriched in the preparations in biodynamically treated soils as compared to the control (non-parametric 334 paired test: 16S rRNA p-value < 10^{-3} , V = 5401) but not of fungal ASVs (ITS p-value = 0.083, V 335 = 4640). To assess their colonization patterns in the soil communities after spray treatment, 336 we calculated the difference between their abundance in the biodynamically treated and the 337 untreated soils. Positive abundances indicate a successful colonization on treatment, whereas 338 339 an abundance of zero or below indicates unsuccessful colonization. As soil samples were 340 taken at different time intervals in each experimental trial, we analysed the colonization success for each timepoint, displayed as weeks after first spray treatment (Fig. 2b). 341

The results generally showed a positive trend with increasing time, especially in the Geisenheim and Darmstadt trials, with 0.5 and 3% higher relative abundances of prokaryotic ASVs enriched in biodynamic preparations in treated compared to untreated soils at T1. Samples from France, however, did not show substantial abundance differences between treatments and increased little with time. Soils in Frankenhausen were sampled 16 weeks after first spray treatment, at this time prokaryotes enriched in biodynamic preparations expressed no abundance differences between treatments.

The time series data showed a distinct pattern of colonization success with increasing differences between biodynamically and organically managed soils until 8 weeks after first spray treatment and declining afterwards. Even though we found the strongest effect in the time series 8 weeks after first spray treatment, the trials in Geisenheim and Darmstadt had increased abundance of biodynamic preparation enriched prokaryotic ASVs 21 respectively 19 weeks after treatment. Fungal communities varied much stronger between treatments and locations, expressing abundance differences between treated and untreated soils of up to 57% of fungal communities (Fig. S5). As described before, fungal ASVs were not significantly enriched in treated soils as compared to untreated soils and we did not observe a clear pattern associated with weeks after the first spray treatment (Fig. S5b).

The prokaryotic communities enriched in biodynamic preparations showed only a weak difference between samples from different countries, whereas the fungal communities expressed strong country specific differences. The differentiation between preparations with and without manure was still prominent in fungal communities, but not as strong as in prokaryotic communities. Generally, prokaryotic and fungal communities both expressed higher variability between different preparations than within preparations (Fig. S4).

Fungal communities that were enriched in biodynamic preparations expressed high abundances of ASVs that were present in soil samples, such as organisms from the genera Mortierella and Pseudeurotium (Fig. S5a). They had a relatively low richness of only 17-45 ASVs per sample, whereas prokaryotic communities enriched in biodynamic preparations comprised 85-169 ASVs per sample and a high number of ASVs that were below the 0.5% abundance threshold.

371

372 Potential plant growth promoting effects increased in biodynamically treated soils

We evaluated 10 different PGPE that could be grouped in either microbial hormone production, such as cytokinin and auxin, or stress adaptation mechanisms, such as increased salt tolerance and heavy metal solubilization (Fig. 3). We describe these effects as potential PGPE to highlight that taxonomy based analyses have limitations: Taxonomy based inference
would fail when only certain strains of a taxon possess the functional genes for the assigned
effects (38). We define an increase in the individual effects as induced by the biodynamic
preparations in soil if the biodynamic treatment expressed significantly higher PGPE values
than the control treatment (Supplementary Table S3).

The horn manure and horn silica preparations (BD500P & BD501) that were used in the Frankenhausen trial led to significantly higher values of potential PGPEs for 10 out of 12 parameters (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S3). The strongest effect was found in heavy metal solubilization, but also distinct differences in potential auxin and cytokinin production.

Treatments with the biodynamic spray preparations (BD500P & BD501) in the 21 385 experimental plots in France led to significantly higher values of potential PGPEs for 8 out of 386 387 12 parameters and for 10 effects the increase was greater than 5%. Here, the strongest effects 388 were detected for 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase and exopolysaccharides (EPS), both grouped into stress adaptation mechanisms that generally 389 390 showed a highly significant effect.

The Darmstadt trial in which we investigated the spray frequency showed that three spray treatments of horn manure and horn silica resulted in 9 out of 12 significantly higher potential PGPEs compared to the control with one spray treatment and 9 effects were increased by more than 5%. The strongest difference of potential PGPEs between treatment and control was also found for EPS and hormone production (mostly auxin).

The Geisenheim trial stood out in this analysis as it yielded no significant differences, or even trends, in potential PGPEs between control and treatment. Even though no significant differences were found for potential PGPEs in Geisenheim, it is noteworthy that all 12 effects were lower in the preparation treatment.

400 All p-values and test statistics are reported in the Supplementary Data.

401

402 Relative abundance of potential plant growth promoting organisms in preparations

403 We sequenced several biodynamic preparations used in the experimental trials (Cluny, Geisenheim, Darmstadt), but also additional preparations from other biodynamically 404 405 managed farms in Germany (Zülpich, Velden) to account for location specific variation in microbiomes. We sequenced several preparations of the same kind (BD500, BD500P, BD501) 406 for which we estimated relative abundances of prokaryotes and fungi that induce potential 407 PGPEs based on the databases of Biome Makers (Fig. 4). The potential PGPEs were 408 409 differentially abundant between the two major preparation types with and without manure, similar to their community differentiation. The highest relative abundance of potential PGPE 410 411 promoting organisms was found in preparations based on horn silica (BD501), whereas 412 preparations that used manure (BD500 & BD500P) exhibited generally lower relative abundances. Especially the abundance of potentially hormone producing microorganisms was 413 considerably high: up to 47% of the microbiome in the preparation from Velden could 414 415 potentially synthesize auxin. This sample exhibited generally high relative abundances of organisms that potentially perform PGPEs. Overall, potentially hormone producing 416 417 prokaryotes and fungi were enriched in horn silica preparations and to a lesser extent also in the manure preparations. Potentially stress adaptation promoting microorganisms were on 418 average rarer than hormone producing organisms. Their most prominent effects were 419 420 increased salt tolerance, ACC deaminase, and EPS production. Abscisic acid (ABA) and salicylic 421 acid producing microorganisms were nearly absent from the preparations and constituted only minor community proportions, regardless of location and preparation type. 422

424 Time dependent plant growth promoting effects of biodynamic preparations

425 The time series analysis conducted at two different locations (in Germany and France, see 426 Table 1) yielded similar potential PGPEs that were enriched in treatments as found in the 427 other experiments. We analysed the difference of potential PGPEs between control and 428 treatment for the individual locations with positive values indicating an enrichment and 429 negative values indicating a depletion of potential PGPE conducting microorganisms (Fig. 5). The three fields in France were sprayed only once with horn manure and horn silica, whereas 430 the field in Germany was sprayed four times with horn manure in the beginning of the 431 experiment and twice with horn silica thereafter. Several indices showed a strong increase in 432 biodynamic treatments compared to the controls in the field trials, such as auxin, cytokinin, 433 and EPS production (Fig.5), while others did not exhibit significant differences between 434 435 control and treatment in the field trials (gibberellin and SA production) (Fig. 5a). Altogether, 436 plant growth promoting functions expressed a recurrent mean pattern with increasing values at the start of the treatment with the biodynamic preparations until 8 weeks after spray 437 438 treatment. Thereafter, the mean values of potential PGPEs decreased again, indicating that 439 control and treatment indices converged (Fig. 5b). This pattern was similar to the pattern of colonization success reported earlier (Fig. 2b). 440

441

442 **Discussion**

443 Our results indicate that the application of biodynamic preparations on agriculturally used 444 soils has implications on the resident soil microbiota. Our experimental design to assess the 445 impact of management practice on microbial soil communities covered a broad range of 446 regions within France and central Germany, crops, timepoints and farms, each offering 447 different soil properties. Our data consistently support our initial hypotheses across diverse 448 setups, underlining their validity. We found that 1) the application of biodynamic 449 preparations has an effect on the microbial community composition, and that 2) communities 450 are mainly affected by an increase of ASVs that were also enriched in the biodynamic 451 preparations. Further, 3) biodynamic preparations were composed to a high extent of putative plant growth promoting organisms and its application increased the abundance of 452 453 putative PGPM in soil communities. However, 4) our time series analyses show that putative PGPM are enriched with a maximum after 8 weeks and decreasing values thereafter in 454 biodynamically treated soils compared to organically treated soils. 455

456

457 Microbial variability in agriculturally used soils

The prokaryotic and fungal communities sequenced showed a highly similar taxonomic 458 459 composition on genus level among all experimental sites. However, ASVs of the same 460 taxonomic groups strongly differed between samples, indicating species or sub-species diversification. Taxonomic composition of fungi varied much stronger compared to 461 462 prokaryotes, which is in agreement with previous studies that found neutral (i.e., stochastic) processes to be more important for fungal community assembly as compared to prokaryotic 463 communities (39,40). The variability of ASVs followed mainly farm location and sampling 464 465 timepoints, whereas agricultural management and crops had a much lower impact on the resident soil communities. Marginal differences between microbial community composition 466 of organically and biodynamically treated soils relative to other factors were also found by 467 468 other studies (25,41). Microbial soil communities are highly diverse, with thousands of 469 different organisms found within a single sample (42) and whose composition and diversity 470 are strongly shaped by climate (43,44) or pH (45). Nonetheless, cropping practice has a 471 measurable impact on microbial community composition, driven e.g. by tillage (46) or type of 472 fertilizer (41), but its effect on the microbial biogeography in soils is minor compared to the 473 beforementioned drivers (46). Therefore, we traced mainly those ASVs enriched in 474 biodynamic preparations to minimize variation induced by other factors. We found an overall 475 significant increase of ASVs in soil communities enriched in biodynamic preparations, revealing a direct effect of management practice on the studied soil communities. Increasing 476 477 the spray-frequency of biodynamic preparations further enhanced the abundance of these ASVs, indicating that biodynamic preparations can act as vessels for biological soil 478 479 amendments (47). Our time series analyses showed that biodynamic preparation associated ASVs were most abundant 8 weeks after first inoculation, declining afterwards. Survival time 480 of so called biofertilizers typically ranges in the order of weeks and is highly dependent on soil 481 properties (48) and biotic interactions with the resident soil community (49). 482

483

484 Plant growth promoting microorganisms in biodynamic preparations

As stated before, it is assumed that biodynamic preparations influence microbial soil 485 486 communities via two independent mechanisms: 1) microbial activation via signalling 487 molecules that accumulate in the fermented products (16), and 2) successful colonization of plant growth promoting organisms that reside in communities associated to the biodynamic 488 489 preparations. Our results indicate high abundances of putative PGP fungi and prokaryotes in 490 the biodynamic preparations that produce phytohormones such as auxin, but also perform 491 stress reducing actions, such as solubilization of heavy metals or production of 492 exopolysaccharides. We detected higher abundances of putative PGP organisms in the 493 preparations containing silica powder (preparation BD501) instead of manure (preparation 494 BD500) represented by high abundances of Gammaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and 495 Eurotiomycetes. Generally, horn silica preparations harboured different communities 496 compared to horn manure preparations, that were dominated by Clostridia and 497 Alphaproteobacteria on 16S rRNA gene level and Morteriellomycetes on ITS level. Our results 498 match the results of other studies (22,23), that also found high abundances of potentially 499 plant growth promoting genera in manure and plant based biodynamic preparations, such as Morteriella, Penicillium, and Aspergillus. The fermentation and ripening of biodynamic 500 501 preparations in soils leads to the accumulation of biolabile components and undecomposed 502 lignin compounds (16). Similar growth promoting effects have been found for composted tea preparations (50) and water extractable organic matter from different compost preparations 503 (51). Hence, we hypothesize that the effect of biodynamic preparations on soils might be 504 505 similar to biological amendments such as compost, straw or biochar, that have a direct impact on microbial soil communities. They increase microbial enzyme activity, biomass, and soil 506 507 respiration (52). Based on our results, we assume that biodynamically managed soils differ 508 from organically managed soils due to higher abundances of putative plant growth promoting microorganisms that are introduced via biodynamic preparations, together with biolabile 509 compounds that can have stimulating effects on resident communities. 510

511

512 Effect of biodynamic preparations on soil microbial communities

We found evidence that biodynamic preparations increase the abundance of organisms that potentially promote biostimulation of plants via production of phytohormones (auxin, cytokinin, and gibberellin). Further, organisms that protect crops from biotic and abiotic stressors via mechanisms such as siderophore production or increasing salt tolerance were also increased in biodynamically treated soils. Biodynamic preparations seem to enhance the abundance of microbial organisms that act on such a broad functional spectrum. Organisms that are known to have plant growth promoting properties often perform multiple beneficial functions, such as strains of the species *Bacillus subtilis* whose plant growth promoting activity has been intensively studied (53). This bacterial group enhances plant growth by improving nutrient availability, altering plant growth hormone homeostasis and reducing drought and salt stress (53). Therefore, a simultaneous increase of multiple PGP effects is likely, especially because we inferred putative microbial functions based on taxonomic identities. We conclude that the general trend of increased PGP functions in biodynamically managed soils reflects high abundances of putative PGP organisms.

The time series data showed increased PGP functions in soil communities that matched the 527 beforementioned colonization patterns of microbes. We further identified low colonization 528 success of microbes associated with biodynamic preparations in soils from Frankenhausen 529 that were sampled 16 weeks after first spray treatment. Assuming the strongest effect of 530 531 biodynamic preparations 8 weeks after first treatment, our sampling strategy in 532 Frankenhausen might have missed significant changes in microbial community composition. Microbial soil inoculants face strong selective pressure after colonization, especially in the 533 534 rhizosphere (54). Inoculation of microbes directly on the field can affect the resident soil 535 communities (49) and is therefore used in commercial products to enhance crop yield (55) or protect plants from disease outbreaks (56,57). Such biofertilizer typically affect microbial 536 537 communities in timeframes of weeks after which the inoculated strains decline in abundance (58,59). 538

539 Microbially mediated plant growth promotion through application of biodynamic 540 preparations has been assumed in other studies that detected putative PGP organisms in 541 biodynamic preparations (22,23). However, this study provides first evidence that such 542 mechanisms will be enhanced through biodynamic crop management compared to organic 543 crop management due to successful colonization of plant growth promoting organisms via 544 biodynamic preparations. The fact that our results were derived from field studies stresses 545 their relevance for decisions in agriculture, but further experiments are necessary to identify 546 which PGP effects are enriched on a genomic level and how they affect plant growth.

Geisenheim stood out in our field trials as it was the only setup that did not express increased 547 PGP effects in soil microbial communities that were biodynamically managed. Instead, the 548 549 trend was vice versa with generally lower abundances of putative PGP organisms. The vineyard in Geisenheim has every second year high leguminous cover crops that promote 550 higher nitrogen availability for plants in organically and biodynamically than in conventionally 551 managed soils (60) and therefore stands out from the other experimental setups. A generally 552 high nutrient availability might reduce the enrichment of PGP organisms via selective 553 colonization at the plant-soil interface (61), since the plant will less likely select for 554 555 biofertilizing symbionts (62,63). This is in accordance with the previously mentioned study 556 that found increased crop yield after application of biodynamic preparations under unfavourable growth conditions, whereas under high nutrient supply crop yield was not 557 558 affected or even reduced (15). Hence, we assume that biodynamic preparations are 559 compensatory with strongest positive effects on plant growth under unfavourable conditions, consistent with selective colonization at the plant-soil interface. 560

561

562 **Biodynamic preparations as biological amendments of soils**

563 Studies that analysed microbial soil properties with respect to agricultural management found 564 the highest soil microbial biomass and the lowest ratio of microbial respiration to biomass in 565 biodynamically managed soils (5,64). Further, biodynamic management promotes densely 566 connected co-occurrence networks in soil microbial communities that represent collaborative 567 communities (25). How biodynamic preparations work and under which circumstances of inoculated PGP microorganisms, depending e.g., on soil nutrient availability (65) or organic matter content (66). Similarly, the application of biodynamic preparations led to significant increases in soil activity and crop yield (6) but in some cases yielded no significant effects (13,14). Plant growth beneficial effects of biodynamic preparations have been detected before and were most pronounced under unfavourable plant growth conditions (11,15). We found evidence for plant beneficial changes in microbial community composition in various soil types (haplic luvisol, clay, loam, sandy loam) in Germany and France and for various crops (grapevine, oats, spelt, wheat, chickpeas, rye, barley, garlic, flax, sunflower). Since the plant beneficial effects are microbially mediated, we assume that further insight into bacteria-plant interactions is required to improve our understanding under which conditions biological amendments have measurable beneficial effects. Also, while the sum of these effects might promote soil health, their implications on crop yield and quality remain uncertain (67). Therefore, further studies should focus on the phyllosphere and rhizosphere where microbes from spray treatment can establish and interact with plants and promote their growth (68,69). Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses are necessary to verify not only the genomic potential of inoculated strains, but also whether their plant growth promoting

Acknowledgements 587

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

588 We would like to thank Meinhard Simon for proof reading the manuscript. We further thank 589 Yannick Lemut of BioDynamie Services and Jean-Philippe Weber of Val de Gascogne 590 Cooperative for their assistance in sampling in France. We would like to thank all the farmers 591 who set up trial plots for our investigation.

functions are expressed and under which conditions.

remains elusive, alike other microbial inoculants (57). Previous studies found varying effects

592

593 Competing Interests

A. Acedo is co-founder and currently employed at Biome Makers. V. Masson is founder and

595 currently employed at BioDynamie Services. The remaining authors declare no competing

596 interests.

597

598 Data Availability Statement

599 The 16S rRNA gene and ITS reads have been deposited at ENA under accession nr. 600 PRJEB65929. Associated sample meta-data and BeCrop indices are provided as 601 supplementary data. All R scripts to reproduce analyses are uploaded to Github 602 (<u>https://github.com/dermilke/Biodyn</u>).

603

604 Author Information

605 Contributions

FM analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. JF analysed the data and designed the
experiment together with MA. GM, VM, MO, MM, HRG and YW performed the experiments.
BK supported the statistics. AA performed nucleotide extraction, sequencing and
bioinformatic sequence processing. All authors supported manuscript writing by critically
reviewing the manuscript.

612 References

- Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, et al. Global
 Consequences of Land Use. Science. 2005 Jul 22;309(5734):570–4.
- Tilman D, Fargione J, Wolff B, D'Antonio C, Dobson A, Howarth R, et al. Forecasting
 Agriculturally Driven Global Environmental Change. Science. 2001 Apr
 13;292(5515):281–4.
- Gomiero T, Pimentel D, Paoletti MG. Is There a Need for a More Sustainable
 Agriculture? Crit Rev Plant Sci. 2011;30:6–23.
- 4. Steiner R. Geisteswissenschaftliche Grundlagen zum Gedeihen der Landwirtschaft
 [Spiritual Foundations for a Renewal of Agriculture: a Series of Lectures]. Koberwitz bei
 Breslau: RUDOLF STEINER ONLINE ARCHIV; 2010.
- 5. Fritz J, Lauer F, Wilkening A, Masson P, Peth S. Aggregate stability and visual evaluation
 of soil structure in biodynamic cultivation of Burgundy vineyard soils. Biol Agric Hortic.
 2021 Jul 3;37(3):168–82.
- Juknevičienė E, Danilčenko H, Jarienė E, Fritz J. The effect of horn-manure preparation
 on enzymes activity and nutrient contents in soil as well as great pumpkin yield. Open
 Agric. 2019 Jan 1;4(1):452–9.
- Vaitkevičienė N, Jarienė E, Ingold R, Peschke J. Effect of biodynamic preparations on the
 soil biological and agrochemical properties and coloured potato tubers quality. Open
 Agric. 2019 Feb 1;4(1):17–23.
- 8. Sharma SK, Laddha KC, Sharma RK, Gupta PK, Chatta LK, Pareeek P. Application of
 biodynamic preparations and organic manures for or- ganic production of cumin
 (Cuminum cyminum L.). Int J Seed Spices. 2012;2(1):7–11.
- 635 9. Spiess H. Konventionelle und biologisch-dynamische verfahren zur steigerung der
 636 bodenfruchtbarkeit [PhD thesis]. Darmstadt-Land: Forschungsring fuer Biologisch637 Dynamische Wirtschaftsweise; 1978.
- 638 10. Jarienė E, Vaitkevičienė N, Danilčenko H, Tajner-Czopek A, Rytel E, Kucharska A, et al.
 639 Effect of biodynamic preparations on the phenolic antioxidants in potatoes with
 640 coloured-flesh. Biol Agric Hortic. 2017 Jul 3;33(3):172–82.
- 11. Juknevičienė E, Danilčenko H, Jarienė E, Živatkauskienė V, Zeise J, Fritz J. The effect of
 biodynamic preparations on growth and fruit quality of giant pumpkin (Cucurbita
 maxima D.). Chem Biol Technol Agric. 2021 Dec;8(1):60.
- Fritz J, Köpke U. Einfluss von Licht, Düngung und biologisch-dynamischem Spritzpräparat
 Hornkiesel bei Buschbohne (Phaseolus vulgaris L. var. Nanus) auf die Keimeigenschaften
 der neu gebildeten Samen. Pflanzenbauwissenschaften. 2005;9(2):55–60.



- 26. Liao J, Xu Q, Xu H, Huang D. Natural Farming Improves Soil Quality and Alters Microbial
 Diversity in a Cabbage Field in Japan. Sustainability. 2019 Jun 3;11(11):3131.
- 686 27. Gobbi A, Santini RG, Filippi E, Ellegaard-Jensen L, Jacobsen CS, Hansen LH. Quantitative
 687 and qualitative evaluation of the impact of the G2 enhancer, bead sizes and lysing tubes
 688 on the bacterial community composition during DNA extraction from recalcitrant soil
 689 core samples based on community sequencing and qPCR. Lopes AR, editor. PLOS ONE.
 690 2019 Apr 11;14(4):e0200979.
- 691 28. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
 692 reads. EMBnet.journal. 2011;17(1):10–2.
- 693 29. Edgar RC, Flyvbjerg H. Error filtering, pair assembly and error correction for next 694 generation sequencing reads. Bioinformatics. 2015 Nov 1;31(21):3476–82.
- 30. Mahé F, Czech L, Stamatakis A, Quince C, De Vargas C, Dunthorn M, et al. Swarm v3:
 towards tera-scale amplicon clustering. Birol I, editor. Bioinformatics. 2022;38(1):267–9.
- 697 31. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and
 698 speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics. 2011 Aug 15;27(16):2194–200.
- 32. Glöckner FO, Yilmaz P, Quast C, Gerken J, Beccati A, Ciuprina A, et al. 25 years of serving
 the community with ribosomal RNA gene reference databases and tools. J Biotechnol.
 2017 Nov;261:169–76.
- 33. Nilsson RH, Larsson KH, Taylor AFS, Bengtsson-Palme J, Jeppesen TS, Schigel D, et al. The
 UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel
 taxonomic classifications. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Jan 8;47(D1):D259–64.
- 34. Wemheuer F, Taylor JA, Daniel R, Johnston E, Meinicke P, Thomas T, et al. Tax4Fun2:
 prediction of habitat-specific functional profiles and functional redundancy based on
 16S rRNA gene sequences. Environ Microbiome. 2020 Dec;15(1):11.
- 35. Louca S, Parfrey LW, Doebeli M. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean
 microbiome. Science. 2016 Sep 16;353(6305):1272–7.
- 36. Acedo A, Ortega-Arranz H, Almonacid D, Ferrero A. METHODSANDSYSTEMSFOR
 GENERATING AND APPLYING AGRONOMIC INDICES FROM MICROBIOME-DERIVED
 PARAMETERS. US202/0268756A1, 2022. p. 9.
- 713 37. Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. Taxonomic Note: A Place for DNA-DNA Reassociation and
 714 16S rRNA Sequence Analysis in the Present Species Definition in Bacteriology. Int J Syst
 715 Evol Microbiol. 1994 Oct 1;44(4):846–9.
- 38. Větrovský T, Baldrian P. The Variability of the 16S rRNA Gene in Bacterial Genomes and
 Its Consequences for Bacterial Community Analyses. Neufeld J, editor. PLoS ONE. 2013
 Feb 27;8(2):e57923.

- 39. Rousk J, Bååth E, Brookes PC, Lauber CL, Lozupone C, Caporaso JG, et al. Soil bacterial
 and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. ISME J. 2010
 Oct;4(10):1340–51.
- 40. Bahram M, Hildebrand F, Forslund SK, Anderson JL, Soudzilovskaia NA, Bodegom PM, et
 al. Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. Nature. 2018
 Aug;560(7717):233–7.
- 41. Hartmann M, Frey B, Mayer J, Mäder P, Widmer F. Distinct soil microbial diversity under
 long-term organic and conventional farming. ISME J. 2015 May;9(5):1177–94.
- 42. Bardgett RD, Van Der Putten WH. Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning.
 Nature. 2014 Nov;515(7528):505–11.
- 43. Bay SK, McGeoch MA, Gillor O, Wieler N, Palmer DJ, Baker DJ, et al. Soil Bacterial
 Communities Exhibit Strong Biogeographic Patterns at Fine Taxonomic Resolution.
 Gilbert JA, editor. mSystems. 2020 Aug 25;5(4):e00540-20.
- 44. Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Põlme S, Kõljalg U, Yorou NS, Wijesundera B, et al. Global
 diversity and geography of soil fungi. Science. 2014 Nov 28;346(6213):1256688.
- 45. Fierer N, Jackson RB. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci. 2006 Jan 17;103(3):626–31.
- 46. Hartman K, Van Der Heijden MGA, Wittwer RA, Banerjee S, Walser JC, Schlaeppi K.
 Cropping practices manipulate abundance patterns of root and soil microbiome
 members paving the way to smart farming. Microbiome. 2018 Dec;6(1):14.
- 47. Bashan Y, de-Bashan LE, Prabhu SR, Hernandez JP. Advances in plant growth-promoting
 bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013).
 Plant Soil. 2014 May;378(1–2):1–33.
- 48. Bashan Y, Puente ME, Rodriguez-Mendoza MN, Toledo G, Holguin G, Ferrera-Cerrato R,
 et al. Survival of Azospirillum brasilense in the Bulk Soil and Rhizosphere of 23 Soil
 Types. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1995 May;61(5):1938–45.
- 49. Trabelsi D, Mengoni A, Ben Ammar H, Mhamdi R. Effect of on-field inoculation of
 Phaseolus vulgaris with rhizobia on soil bacterial communities: Effect of inoculation on
 soil bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2011 Jul;77(1):211–22.
- 50. Pane C, Palese AM, Spaccini R, Piccolo A, Celano G, Zaccardelli M. Enhancing
 sustainability of a processing tomato cultivation system by using bioactive compost teas.
 Sci Hortic. 2016 Apr;202:117–24.
- 51. Monda H, Cozzolino V, Vinci G, Spaccini R, Piccolo A. Molecular characteristics of water extractable organic matter from different composted biomasses and their effects on
 seed germination and early growth of maize. Sci Total Environ. 2017 Jul;590–591:40–9.

- 52. Siedt M, Schäffer A, Smith KEC, Nabel M, Roß-Nickoll M, Van Dongen JT. Comparing
 straw, compost, and biochar regarding their suitability as agricultural soil amendments
 to affect soil structure, nutrient leaching, microbial communities, and the fate of
 pesticides. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Jan;751:141607.
- 53. Blake C, Christensen MN, Kovács ÁT. Molecular Aspects of Plant Growth Promotion and
 Protection by *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interactions[®]. 2021 Jan;34(1):15–25.
- 54. Tkacz A, Cheema J, Chandra G, Grant A, Poole PS. Stability and succession of the
 rhizosphere microbiota depends upon plant type and soil composition. ISME J. 2015
 Nov;9(11):2349–59.
- 55. Luo G, Li L, Friman VP, Guo J, Guo S, Shen Q, et al. Organic amendments increase crop
 yields by improving microbe-mediated soil functioning of agroecosystems: A metaanalysis. Soil Biol Biochem. 2018 Sep;124:105–15.
- 56. Berendsen RL, Vismans G, Yu K, Song Y, De Jonge R, Burgman WP, et al. Disease-induced
 assemblage of a plant-beneficial bacterial consortium. ISME J. 2018 Jun;12(6):1496–507.
- 57. Compant S, Samad A, Faist H, Sessitsch A. A review on the plant microbiome: Ecology,
 functions, and emerging trends in microbial application. J Adv Res. 2019 Sep;19:29–37.
- 58. Lioussanne L, Perreault F, Jolicoeur M, St-Arnaud M. The bacterial community of tomato
 rhizosphere is modified by inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi but unaffected
 by soil enrichment with mycorrhizal root exudates or inoculation with Phytophthora
 nicotianae. Soil Biol Biochem. 2010 Mar;42(3):473–83.
- 59. Chowdhury SP, Dietel K, Rändler M, Schmid M, Junge H, Borriss R, et al. Effects of
 Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 on Lettuce Growth and Health under Pathogen
 Pressure and Its Impact on the Rhizosphere Bacterial Community. Van Overbeek L,
 editor. PLoS ONE. 2013 Jul 23;8(7):e68818.
- 60. Mazzoncini M, Sapkota TB, Bàrberi P, Antichi D, Risaliti R. Long-term effect of tillage,
 nitrogen fertilization and cover crops on soil organic carbon and total nitrogen content.
 Soil Tillage Res. 2011 Aug;114(2):165–74.
- 61. Edwards J, Johnson C, Santos-Medellín C, Lurie E, Podishetty NK, Bhatnagar S, et al.
 Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated microbiomes of rice. Proc Natl
 Acad Sci [Internet]. 2015 Feb 24 [cited 2023 May 24];112(8). Available from:
 https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1414592112
- 785 62. Da Costa PB, Granada CE, Ambrosini A, Moreira F, De Souza R, Dos Passos JFM, et al. A
 786 Model to Explain Plant Growth Promotion Traits: A Multivariate Analysis of 2,211
 787 Bacterial Isolates. Daffonchio D, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014 Dec 26;9(12):e116020.
- 63. Vejan P, Abdullah R, Khadiran T, Ismail S, Nasrulhaq Boyce A. Role of Plant Growth
 Promoting Rhizobacteria in Agricultural Sustainability—A Review. Molecules. 2016 Apr
 29;21(5):573.

- 64. Maeder P, Fliessbach A, Dubois D, Gunst L, Fried P, Niggli U. Soil Fertility and Biodiversity
 in Organic Farming. Science. 2002 May 31;296(5573):1694–7.
- Fan X, Zhang S, Mo X, Li Y, Fu Y, Liu Z. Effects of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria
 and N Source on Plant Growth and N and P Uptake by Tomato Grown on Calcareous
 Soils. Pedosphere. 2017 Dec;27(6):1027–36.
- 66. Çakmakçi R, Dönmez F, Aydın A, Şahin F. Growth promotion of plants by plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. Soil
 Biol Biochem. 2006 Jun;38(6):1482–7.
- 67. Döring J, Collins C, Frisch M, Kauer R. Organic and Biodynamic Viticulture Affect
 Biodiversity and Properties of Vine and Wine: A Systematic Quantitative Review. Am J
 Enol Vitic. 2019 Jul 1;70(3):221–42.
- 802 68. Richardson AE, Barea JM, McNeill AM, Prigent-Combaret C. Acquisition of phosphorus
 803 and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant
- Soil. 2009 Aug;321(1–2):305–39.
- 805 69. Vorholt JA. Microbial life in the phyllosphere. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012 Dec;10(12):828–
 806 40.

808 Table 1: Detailed description of experimental sites and applied biodynamic preparations. Additional information on experimental sites in

809 France can be found in Supplementary Table S2.

			Sampling dates/	Biodynamic preparation	Sample-	
Location	Сгор	Soil type	weeks after first spray	type and origin	number	BD since
			T0: 01.03.2021		Y	
Darmstadt	Oat, rye,	Sandy	0 weeks	BD500 Darmstadt	16 x 2	2010
(Germany)	tall fescue	Sanuy	T1: 03.08.2021	BD501 Darmstadt	timepoints	2019
			19 weeks			
			T0: 10.05.2021			
Geisenheim	Vino	Sandy Joam	7 weeks	BD500 Geisenheim	4 x 2	2006
(Germany	VIIIE	Sanuy Ioani	T1: 18.08.2021	BD501 Geisenheim	timepoints	2000
			21 weeks			
Frankenhausen	What shalt at		T1: 25.08.2021	BD500P Cluny	16 x 1	2021
(Germany)	wheat, speit, oat	LUESS	16 weeks	BD501 Cluny	timepoint	2021
	13x vine, rye,	12x clay	T0: 07.06.2021	·		
Franco	2x chickpeas, barley,	15X Clay,	approx. 7 weeks	BD500P Cluny	21 x 2	2001-
Flance	garlic, wheat,	4X IUdili,	T1: 04.08.2021	BD501 Cluny	timepoints	2021
	flax, sunflower	4X Saliuy Ioalli	approx. 15 weeks			
			24.04.2021 (0 weeks)			
		Clay	10.05.2021 (2 weeks)		3 x 7 timepoints	2021
			24.05.2021 (4 weeks)			
TS France	Vine		07.06.2021 (6 weeks)	BD501 Cluny		
			23.06.2021 (8 weeks)			
			12.07.2021 (11 weeks)			
			08.08.2021 (15 weeks)			
			27.04.2021 (0 weeks)			
	ankenhausen many) Cereals		11.05.2021 (2 weeks)		1 x 7 timepoints	2021
TS Erankonhauson			25.05.2021 (4 weeks)			
(Gormany)		Loess	08.06.2021 (6 weeks)	BD500F Cluby		
(Jermany)			22.06.2021 (8 weeks)			
			13.07.2021 (11 weeks)			
			10.08.2021 (15 weeks)			
	$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y}$					22
						53

811 Figures





824 (see Supplementary Table S2 for detailed locations). Number of replicates are listed to the





Figure 2: Prokaryotic communities enriched in biodynamic preparations and their abundance in soils. **a)** Composition of prokaryotes enriched in biodynamic preparations from various locations and preparation types. ASVs are defined to be enriched in biodynamic preparations if they have relative abundance higher than 0.5 %. Taxonomic assignment is displayed at genus level and colour coded according to the legend. **b)** Abundance difference of prokaryotic ASVs enriched in biodynamic preparation between treatment and control soils. Positive values indicate higher abundance of ASVs in treated soils.

834



835

847

Biodynamic (BD++) Ext. Biodynamic (BD+)

Figure 3: Quantitative analysis of putative plant growth promoting functions performed by 836 soil microbial communities. Functional abundance is represented by the BeCrop index 837 from Biome Makers and ranging from 1 to 6. Microbial functions that promote plant 838 growth are separated by hormone production and stress adaptation. Individual functions 839 are shown in light colours and functional groups are displayed in dark colours. Red bars 840 denote index values of BD++ treated soils, blue bars for BD+ treated soils, and green bars 841 show untreated (BD-) soils (see Table 1 for more details). Error bars represent standard 842 errors and bar height shows average values. Symbols above bars represent statistical 843 significance: T = p-value < 0.1, * = p-value < 0.05, ** = p-value < 0.01. Barplots are separated 844 by experiment location into Darmstadt, France (various), Frankenhausen and Geisenheim. 845 846 See Supplementary Table S2 for more details about locations in France.



848

Figure 4: Heatmap of relative abundance of ASVs that perform putative plant growth 849 850 promoting functions according to the BeCrop databases for all sequenced biodynamic preparations. Biodynamic preparations are separated by horn-manure preparations 851 (BD500 & BD500P) and horn-silica preparations (BD501). The cities where biodynamic 852 853 preparations were produced are displayed as row labels. Multiple preparations were 854 sampled in some cities which is denoted with numbers after city labels. Preparations from 855 Velden and Zülpich were not applied at the experimental sites but were included in the 856 analysis to account for the variability of PGPE of biodynamic preparations.

857



Figure 5: Time series analysis of putative plant growth promoting functions performed by soil 860 861 microbial communities. Functional abundance is represented in the barplots by the difference of the BeCrop index from Biome Makers between biodynamically and 862 organically treated soils. Positive values denote higher index values in the biodynamic 863 treatment and negative values vice versa. The BeCrop index scales with abundance of 864 microbial organisms that promote individual plant growth promoting functions and varies 865 from 1 to 6. Weeks after first spray treatment in the time series are shown on x-axes. 866 867 Microbial functions that promote plant growth are grouped into hormone production (phytohormones) and stress adaptation. Functional groups are displayed in bold text. Time 868 series of all plant inferred growth promoting functions are denoted in a) and the mean 869 index differences of all functions are displayed in **b**). Error-bars denote standard error. 870

- Table 1: Detailed description of experimental sites and applied biodynamic preparations.Additional information on experimental sites in France can be found in Supplementary Table
- 873 S2.

55		Soil	Sampling dates/ weeks after first	Biodynamic preparation type	Sam ple- num	BD
Location	Crop	type	spray	and origin	ber	since
Darmsta dt (German y)	Oat, rye, tall fescue	Sandy	T0: 01.03.2021 0 weeks	BD500 Darmstadt BD501 Darmstadt	16 x 2 time	2019

			T1:		point	
			03.08.2021		s	
			19 weeks			
			T0.			
Geisenh			10.05.2021		4 x 2	
eim		Sandy	7 weeks	BD500 Geisenheim	time	
lGorman	Vine	loam		BD500 Geisenheim	noint	2006
V		IOann	10.00.2021	DDJOT Geisenmenn	point	
у			10.00.2021		3	
Freeken			21 WEEKS		10.4	
Franken			T1:		10 X	
nausen	Wheat, spelt, oat	Loess	25.08.2021	BD500P Cluny		2021
(German			16 weeks	BD501 Cluny	time	\sim
y)					point	Y
		13x	TO:	C	\sim	
	13x vine. rve.	clav.	07.06.2021		21 x	
	2x chickneas	4x	approx. 7		2	2001
France	barley, garlic	loam	weeks	BD500P Cluny	– time	-
	wheat	4x	T1:	BD501 Cluny	point	2021
	flax sunflower	sandy	04.08.2021		s s	2021
		loam	approx. 15		5	
		louin	weeks			
			24.04.2021			
			(0 weeks)	Y		
			10.05.2021			
			(2 weeks)			
		×	24.05.2021			
			(4 weeks)		3 x 7	
TS	Vino	Clay	07.06.2021	BD500P Cluny	time	2021
France	vine	Cidy	(6 weeks)	BD501 Cluny	point	2021
			23.06.2021		S	
		/	(8 weeks)			
		7	12.07.2021			
			(11 weeks)			
			, 08.08.2021			
			(15 weeks)			
	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		27.04.2021			
	>		(0 weeks)			
			11.05.2021			
			(2 weeks)			
TS			25 05 2021		1 x 7	
Franken			(4 weeks)		time	
hausen	Cereals	Loess		BD501 Cluby	noint	2021
(German			(6 weeks)		ρυπτ c	
y)			10 WEEKSJ		3	
			(2 w o c k c)			
			12 07 2021			
			13.07.2021			
			(11 weeks)			

10.08.2021 (15 weeks) 874 875 TS S